“Sous la menace de dizaines de Mohamed Merah en France” selon Al Quaïda

04/04/2013 à 21h51

La livraison du printemps 2013 de la revue *Inspire*, proche d’Al Quaïda, consacre deux pages à l’intervention militaire française au Mali. Comme on pouvait s’y attendre, la France en prend pour son grade et se voit gentiment qualifiée d'”*envahisseur imbécile*“…



16 Inspire | Al-Malahem Media | Winter 2013

Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula | Issue 10 17

France, the Imbecile Invader

Abu Abdillah Almoravid

The Western crusaders tend - if not pretend - to forget historical facts. They do not want to learn from the defeats they gulped since their first crusades against Muslims in the 11th century. We do not have to recount that history. And those incidents are enough a proof to the crusaders that our muslim ummah is capable of inflicting them with heavy defeats in spite of the difference of weapons and numbers, which have always been at their advantage.

On the dawn of 2013, France underestimated the catastrophic consequences it will face. It stupidly announced a crusade on the Muslim Mali for declaring a Muslim state governed by the Shari'ah of Allah. It announced its crusade for Mali has violated human rights by banning music and adultery. What a stupid move!

It would have been appropriate for France to remember the lessons from its colonial era and how it faced jihad, force and resistance especially in Algeria. France should have taken into consideration the blessed jihad incidents against Italy in the early last century, when it occupied Libya. France should remember the guerilla war fought under the leadership of the Lion of the Desert, Omar Mukhtar. France should have backed away and retire after it has gulped the bitterness of defeat in Afghanistan in the hands of the Taliban mujahideen. It should have turned its attention to its crumbling economy especially that the Euro Zone is in a deep recession as a result of a decade plus war in Afghanistan and Iraq. France should have comprehended that the jihadi movements in the Muslim world, especially Al-Qaeda, are stronger than to be beaten in a rapid deployment. There are many considerations which it had to put into its mind before engaging in a direct war in Mali.

And if it does not mind all these consideration in the Path of defending 'freedom of nations' and 'human rights' as it claims, then where is it from the Syrian revolution? Does Bashar Al-Asad respect human rights which France claims to defend? Where is it from the massacres in Burma? Where is it from Palestine which is bombarded day and night? Which freedom and rights is it talking about? The freedom of living in peace with adequate resources? Or the freedom of adultery, homosexuality, interest and other impurities? Where is its 'freedom of conscience' when it banned Niqab of the Muslim women? Is raping, killing and looting part of the freedom it fights for as we have seen in Mali in the first weeks of your invasion in the deeds of your troops.

As for the Mujahideen, their strategic notion is that the West is losing its prestige and its military and technical superiority whenever it deploys ground boots in a muslim land. Our notion is that the West is losing the components of strength, influence and augustness when it falls and its economy is exhausted. And nothing exhausts the economy like a long bloody war, moreover in a warzone like the deserts of Mali. Our notion is that the Muslim ummah which has brought forth millions of martyrs in the way of fighting the crusader occupier in the colonial era is the same ummah which will support jihad with men and wealth in this era, but this time the support will be bigger and greater. Greater because the ummah has become more connected and bonded. Greater because the ummah has freed itself from the influence of the tyrants in the Arab Spring.

Almost all political and military analysts are predicting an ineluctable catastrophe will hit France and whoever allies it in this war. Even her dear rotten Britain are calling it a hasty decision. Yes, it is a hasty decision to engage in such a dangerous war and face terrors of the 'African terra incognita'. I wonder how your spoiled boys will manage to last a battle in the dry terrains of the Muslim Mali.

The French crusade on Mali is certainly connected to the historic crusades, and definitely its result won't defer from its predecessors. So, why is France so thick in learning from its past mistakes? Is it leaving Paris undefended once again to engage in a war away from home? Woe upon you from tens of Muhammad Merah!

L’article intitulé “*France, the imbecile invader*” reprend à plusieurs reprises le thème des “*croisades*” pour expliquer la politique française et promet des lendemains détonants :

> ***“la France a sous-estimé les conséquences catastrophiques auxquelles elle va être confrontée. Elle aurait été bien inspirée de se souvenir de la résistance du Jihad pendant sa période coloniale en particulier en Algérie”…***

Le magazine rappelle également les occupations et interventions françaises en Libye

et en Afghanistan.

L'auteur de l'article, Abu Abdillah Almoravid, raille la théorie des droits de l'homme telle qu'elle est conçue en France : "*de quels droits de l'homme parle t-on ? Ceux qui célèbrent l'adultère, l'homosexualité et les autres impuretés ? Où est la "liberté de conscience" dans un pays qui interdit le port du niqab pour les femmes musulmanes ?*"

Mais le pire est à venir selon *Inspire* qui rapporte les propos tenus par "*presque tous les analystes politiques et militaires qui prédisent qu'une catastrophe inéluctable frappera la France et ses alliés au Mali*". Au-dessus d'une photographie de Mohamed Merah, le magazine lance cet ultime avertissement :

> ***"Malheur à vous sous la menace de dizaines de Mohamed Merah"…***

[Casus Belli]